

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO | |
|---|--------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|
| 10/615,748 | 07/09/2003 | Marshall Medoff | 08895-019002 | 9893 | |
| 26161 | 7590 01/19/2 | 06 | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| FISH & RICHARDSON PC | | | PESELE | PESELEV, ELLI | |
| P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 | | 22 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | , | | 1623 | | |

DATE MAILED: 01/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary 10/615,748 MEDOFF ET A Examiner Art Unit | | | | | |
|--|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Acont | | | | | |
| ∮ | | | | | |
| Elli Peselev 1623 | | | | | |
| - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence Period for Reply | address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | is communication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>12 September 2005</u> . | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-35 and 54-56</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>30-35 and 54-56</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | |
| Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | iai Otage | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| a management and an analysis and copies not received. | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | • | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (F | PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/615,748

Art Unit: 1623

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 30-35 and 54-56 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 and 21-23 of U.S. Patent No. 5,952,105. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed material is encompassed by the patented material.

Claims 30-35 and 54-56 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 9-37 of U.S. Patent No. 6,207,729. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed material is encompassed by the patented material.

Claims 30-35 and 54-56 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-49 of U.S. Patent No.

6,448,307. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claimed material is encompassed by the patented material.

Claims 30-35 and 54-56 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8, 14, 15, 50, 51 and 54-57 of copending Application No. 09/772,593. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the material claimed in the present application is encompassed by the material in the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 30-56 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elli Peselev whose telephone number is (571) 272-0659. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.00-4.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shaojia Jiang can be reached on (571) 272-0627. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/615,748 Page 4

Art Unit: 1623

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elli Peselev

ELLI PESELEV PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200